



## **Findings April 8, 2002**

### **CPRC Case No. 01-121**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.
2. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.

### **CPRC Case No. 01-157**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure, Discourtesy and Poor Service.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
3. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The alleged act did not occur.
4. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
5. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
6. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
7. Misconduct / Poor Service – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
8. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

### **CPRC Case No. 01-163**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

3. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.

#### **CPRC Case No. 01-165**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure and Excessive Use of Force.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
3. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
4. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
5. Excessive Use of Force – The alleged act did not occur.

#### **CPRC Case No. 01-172**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
3. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.

#### **CPRC Case No. 02-002**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
3. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

#### **CPRC Case No. 02-003**

This case concerns complaints of Excessive Use of Force.

The Commission found the following:

1. Excessive Use of Force – The alleged act did not occur.

**CPRC Case No. 02-004**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure and Poor Service.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
2. Misconduct / Poor Service – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

**CPRC Case No. 02-007**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.
2. Misconduct / Improper Procedure – The alleged act did not occur.
3. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
4. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.
5. Misconduct / Discourtesy – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.